

## **Technical Advisory Group Briefs and Citizen Advisory Retreat Issue Paper Connection**

ISSUE A - BASELINE DETERMINATION: How should a mercury emission baseline be established for utility units or other mercury emitting sources that may be affected by requirements to cap and reduce mercury emissions?

- *Baseline Determination*

ISSUE B – INTERACTION OF STATE VERSUS FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS: What is the relationship between a Wisconsin regulation and pending federal regulations that will require mercury emission reductions from electric utility boilers and industrial boilers?

- *Federal MACT Standard*

ISSUE C – PERIODIC RULE EVALUATIONS: What should the frequency and content of the rule evaluation reports to the Natural Resources Board be?

ISSUE D – AFFECT ON ELECTRIC RELIABILITY: Are the variance procedures adequate to safeguard electric reliability?

- *Reliability and Variance*

ISSUE E – EMISSION CAPS: Should major industrial sources have requirements in the proposed rules that place a cap on their annual mercury emissions?

- *Mass Cap Sources and Implications*

ISSUE F – GROWTH IN MERCURY EMISSIONS: How should growth in mercury emissions be addressed in the proposed rules?

- *Emission Credits*

ISSUE G – MERCURY REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS: The schedule and stringency of mercury emission reductions required of the four major electric utilities.

- *Control Technologies and Options*
- *Activated carbon Injection*
- *Multi-pollutant Control options*
- *Control Summary*

ISSUE H - TRADING: Should compliance with the proposed mercury rules include provision for emission reduction credits created from mercury product collection projects or pollution reduction projects?

- *Emission Credits*